

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/786,190	03	/01/2001	Andrew George Silver	U 013288-1 6267		
140	7590	03/04/2004		EXAMINER		
LADAS &				VANAMAN, FRANK BENNETT		
26 WEST 61 NEW YORK		-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			3618		
				DATE MAII ED: 03/04/200	4	

DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<b>/</b> !
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	09/786,190	SILVER, ANDREW	GEORGE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
ć	Frank Vanaman	3618	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addr	ess
Period for Reply	IVIC CET TO EVDIDE 28	AONTHIO FROM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a pply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ate, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this community. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication. ·
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21.	January 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the m	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 22-33 and 35-38 is/are pending in the	he application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>22-33 and 35-38</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	to a stanting against a seat		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	· · · · ·	· ·	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	<b>'</b>	• • •	, ,
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action of form PTO	-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documer</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documer</li> </ul>	nts have been received.		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	iority documents have beer	n received in this National St	age
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		•
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)

Application/Control Number: 09/786,190

Art Unit: 3618

#### **Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on Nov 3, 2003 has been entered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC 103

- 2. The appropriate citation of 35 U.S.C. 103 relied upon herein may be found in the previous office action.
- Claims 22-33 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 3. over Porsche et al. (US 3,771,807, cited previously) in view of Dulski (US 2,883,205, cited previously). Porsche et al. teach a ski bike including a seat (3) a steering means (1, 2, 4) including an upper pair of handlebars (30) which may accommodate a tow assembly of greater width than the handlebars and a forward ski (5) pivotally connected to the frame by a single fork member, a frame including first and second portions (10, 10'), both of which support the seat, connected to a third portion (11, 12) which is parallel to a rear ski (8), the rear ski being connected to the frame by pivotal connections (6, 7) and a suspension means (9), which allow pivoting of the rear ski at those pivotal locations, the front and rear skis having the same width (figure 2), the front ends of the first and second frames being connected to the steering headset (2), the rear ends being connected to an upwardly oriented portion (12) of the third frame portion. The reference to Porsche et al. fails to teach a footrest extending from the frame on either side of the frame, constituting a pair of foot engaging portions. Dulski teaches a ski-bike having a frame supplied with a footrest (42) located between the seat and rear ski, having left and right foot supporting portions extending on either side of the frame (e.g., 13, 14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a footrest as taught by Dulski on the frame of the bike

Application/Control Number: 09/786,190

Art. Unit: 3618

taught by Porsche et al., between the seat and rear ski, for the purpose of allowing a user's feet to be supported when coasting.

As regards claim 27, while the reference of Porsche et al. as modified by Dulski fails to teach the pivotal connections (6, 7) being located adjacent the ends of the third frame portion (e.g., the ends of 11), it would not have been considered to be beyond the skill of the ordinary practitioner to adjust the locations of the pivots, for example for the purpose of adjusting the degree and characteristics of displacement of the rear ski.

As regards claim 30, it is old and well known to duplicate a part in order to provide enhanced operation of the part, and as such, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to duplicate the fork portion taught by Porsche et al., as modified by Dulski, providing a pair of fork portions, for the purpose of providing a more secure connection between the steering means and the front ski.

As regards claim 37, to provide an abrasive foot accommodating portion on a footrest for the purpose of enhancing traction is old and well known, and as such, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide an abrasive surface to the top portions of the footrest taught by the modifying reference of Dulski for the purpose of improving traction and providing an improved gripping surface for the user.

4. Claims 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Porsche et al. in view of Dulski and Laycraft (US 4,097,055, cited previously). The references of Porsche et al. and Dulski are discussed above, and fail to teach the attachment of the steering means and forward ski by a suspension member which damps pivotal motion. Laycraft teaches a snow bike having a front ski (23) connected to a front fork (20) with a suspension mechanism comprising a pivotal connection (at 22) and a damper (24, 25, 26). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the connection between the front fork and front ski of the bike of Porsche et al. as modified by Dulski with a damping suspension mechanism as taught by Laycraft for the purpose of reducing vibrations transmitted to the user, facilitating a smoother ride.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/786,190

Art Unit: 3618

5. Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Porsche et al. in view of Dulski and Muller et al. (US 4,305,603, cited previously). The references of Porsche et al. and Dulski are discussed above, and fail to teach the rear ski as having a rear portion that is wider than the remainder of the ski, which has a substantially constant width. Muller et al. teach a gliding board having a forward section with a substantially constant width, and a wider rear section (6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the rear ski of the bike of Porsche et al. as modified by Dulski with a widened section, as taught by Muller et al., for the purpose of adjusting the gliding characteristic of the bike.

## Response to comments

Applicant's comments have been carefully considered. As regards the previous 6. rejection under 35 USC 112, concerning the recitation of the foot rest (claim 22, paragraph (g) and claim 23), the examiner agrees with applicant's interpretation and analysis, and the rejection is hereby withdrawn. As regards the reference to Porsche and the material added to claim 22, the rear ski is allowed to pivot with respect to the frame at the spaced locations of attachment, to the degree claimed. Note, as an example, when the front of the rear ski comes into contact with a protruded obstacle, the front portion of the ski will raise up, with the result that the acute angle of the front pivotal connecting bar will decrease (i.e., the rearward portion of element 6 will approach the lower side of the frame) and the rear position of the ski will pivot in a clockwise direction (about connections 7, 9) until such time as the obstacle is located further rearwardly under the rear ski. As such the pivotal connections taught by Porsche et al. will allow the ski to pivot with respect to the frame, about axes defined by the spaced connections 6, and 7/9) to the breadth this limitation is currently claimed. As regards applicant's comments that original figure 3 provides support for this limitation, while the lower ends of both elements 51 and 52 do include pivot element which connect to the ski, in that both 51 and 52 are fixed with respect to one another, it appears as though the ski, and elements 51 and 52 form a truss (i.e., in view of 51 and 52 being rigidly fixed, and the connections between the ski, 51 and 52 being pinApplication/Control Number: 09/786,190

Art-Unit: 3618

connections. For the purpose of this office action, the examiner is assuming that applicant's reference was to another figure, such as figure 5, which appears to allow pivoting.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Vanaman whose telephone number is 703-308-0424. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

As of May 1, 2003, any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop\_\_\_\_\_

Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450,

Or faxed to one of the following fax servers:

Regular Communications/Amendments: 703-872-9326

After Final Amendments: 703-872-9327

Customer Service Communications: 703-872-9325

F. VANAMAN
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3618